



# The Pubs Code

## - How and why it has failed

**Call**

01565 626056

**Email**

[info@forumofbritishpubs.com](mailto:info@forumofbritishpubs.com)

**Website**

[www.forumofbritishpubs.com](http://www.forumofbritishpubs.com)



# Contents

Background	2
The Pub Code and the Adjudicator have failed	3
Why has the code failed?	3
Why is this important?	4
What do we want to happen?	5

# Background

**Following the beer orders in the 1980's a number of brewers closed their breweries and decided to capitalise on their large pub estates, thus becoming landlords and retailers. Some of these grew to a stage where they now dominate the UK pub market.**

As a result of these changes, we saw a shift from brewers with an estate of pubs which were the brewers' shop windows, to essentially large property owning companies. These companies used the power of their tie and the size of their estate to leverage their buying power with suppliers, other brewers and distillers. They also used the power of their tie to squeeze more value out of the pubs and tenants.

Unfortunately, this kind of behaviour became the norm with a number of other brewers, particularly the larger ones, copying these unfair business practices.

As a result of this kind of behaviour, a number of government reviews took place and, following the trade's failure to self-regulate, the government finally recognised the pub companies' inability to willingly change. As a result, the Pub Code was introduced.

The key principles of the Pub Code are to:

1. Remove unfair business practice from the sector.
2. Make pub operating business tenants no worse off than if they were free-of-tie.

The financial crash in 2008 meant that a change in pub company behaviour was even more unlikely and a Pub Code Adjudicator (PCA) was appointed with the objectives of ensuring that unfair business practices were removed and that the tenants were no worse off than if they were free-of-tie. This would be achieved by means of a simple Market Rent Only (MRO) option that would be available to them.

# The Pub Code and the Adjudicator have failed

**Since the implementation of the Pub Code, we believe that only a handful of tenants could have achieved a Market Rent Only (MRO) option, through an agreement with their pub operating company and thousands have lost out.**

The pub companies continue to complicate the issue, confuse landlords, take excessive time in negotiations, deliberately mislead, hold back information, use tactics aimed at avoiding the Code and generally game the Code to their advantage.

The gaming of the Code goes against its two main principals of removing unfair practices and ensuring that the pub tenants are no worse off than the free-of-tie. These unfair practices are being used to achieve compromise agreements which are not true MRO agreements as set out in the Code.

While doing this, the pub companies are able to explore other pub operating models, such as growing their managed estate, franchise style agreements, change of use and selling off poorly performing pubs.

## Why has the code failed?

**There was an assumption that the pub operating businesses would engage positively with the need to change. They have not and, if anything, the situation is worse than it was before the Pub Code came in.**

The government has failed to listen and act on what they were told by the people actually running the pubs on a day-to-day basis. The consequence being that these pub owners are now faced with many issues they don't know how to deal with.

There are a number of common tactics pub companies use to game the code which can be seen on our website [www.forumofbritishpubs.com/protect-your-pub](http://www.forumofbritishpubs.com/protect-your-pub). The Adjudicator can only arbitrate, meaning each individual case is heard separately and the result confidential. This means that:

1. No one knows if a true MRO agreement is reached.
2. The Adjudicator's decision has no memory and can't set precedent.
3. If the PubCo, with its large resources, appeals the decision, it goes to the High Court. The tenant is then faced with the costs in both money and time, so will avoid going down this path. This is key because a simple and cheap solution for pub tenants becomes anything but.
4. The original Adjudicator Paul Newby, was not trusted by tenants or their representative bodies from square one, because of perceived conflict of interests from the Adjudicator's previous role in Fluerets, a leisure property specialists.
5. The Adjudicator is unable to adjudicate and seems content with compromises between tenants and pub operators rather than following the true stated aim of the Code. They also seems content to let the High Court make decisions rather than improving the Code and ensuring that the adjudicator has the power to make it work.

## Why is this important?

**We feel that we are witnessing the complete demise of the tenanted pub sector and this would be a tragedy. It's the only low cost route that many entrepreneurial operators have to get a foothold in the industry and to learn the trade.**

Many pub tenants are losing their livelihoods or living on less than the living wage. Many are ex-service people and public servants who have discovered that their dream career is a nightmare.

The tenanted pub model is being tarnished by the behaviour of some of the bigger companies to the detriment of smaller regional brewers who run the model well.

We are seeing the closure of pubs, a decline in quality and service, fewer truly professional landlords and an unwelcome change in the culture of the industry.

On the other hand, we see ex-pub company pubs, which were claimed to be failing, sold as free-trade pubs and rising like a phoenix from the ashes.

# What do we want to happen?

**The Protect Your Pub campaign wants to work with the Pub Code and the Adjudicator to make sure the code works as intended. To do this, we ask that the following five steps happen:**

1. The Statutory review took place at the end of 2019, we hope this review will take into account the issues with the code and adjudicator and make the necessary changes to ensure, unfair business practices by the regulated pub companies are stopped and that tenants of these companies have simple and cheap access to a true Market Rent Only option and the ability to choose it without interference or penalty.
2. The Pub Code Adjudicator needs to be able to adjudicate in line with their title. To achieve this, common gaming practices should be removed and the results of the arbitration's presented so that they are out in the open and transparent. It will also remove the High Court as the final arbiter.
3. There needs to be a simple process put in place with clear steps, actions and timescales for both tenant and pub operating business when an MRO application is triggered.
4. The Adjudicator needs to work with tenant bodies and the Pub Operating Business to create an education and training process for all new pub tenants along with suggestions to modernise the tenanted pub operating model so that it works for both sides.
5. A review needs to look at the role of the Adjudicator itself. If someone with another skill set is more suitable for the role the current Adjudicator needs to step down and a replacement appointed.
6. We ask that the Government review the role of the Tie and its use in the UK hospitality industry as we feel it is having a negative impact across the whole industry sector, from small microbrewers, to publicans and consumers.



**Do you need help now?**

**Call us today on**

**01565 626056**

**Call**

01565 626056

**Email**

[info@forumofbritishpubs.com](mailto:info@forumofbritishpubs.com)

**Office**

Ruskin Chambers, Drury Lane, Knutsford, Cheshire WA16 6HA

**Website**

[www.forumofbritishpubs.com](http://www.forumofbritishpubs.com)

**Follow**

 [@forpubs](https://twitter.com/forpubs)

The Forum of British Pubs is part of the Forum of Private Business.  
Registered in England and Wales: 01329000

